

## RatingsDirect<sup>®</sup>

#### **Research Update:**

### Sao Martinho S.A. 'BB+' Global Scale And 'brAAA' National Scale Ratings Affirmed, Outlook Remains Positive

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Victor H Nomiyama, CFA, Sao Paulo (55) 11-3039-9764; victor.nomiyama@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Flavia M Bedran, Sao Paulo + 55 11 3039 9758; flavia.bedran@spglobal.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria

Ratings List

#### **Research Update:**

# Sao Martinho S.A. 'BB+' Global Scale And 'brAAA' National Scale Ratings Affirmed, Outlook Remains Positive

#### **Overview**

- Brazil-based sugarcane processor São Martinho (SMO) has posted rising cash flow generation and improved credit metrics despite global sugar prices volatility.
- We're affirming our 'BB+' global scale and 'brAAA' national scale ratings on the company.
- The positive outlook on the global scale rating indicates a potential upgrade in the next 12 months if São Martinho generates free operating cash flow (FOCF) while deleveraging, with adjusted debt to EBITDA consistently below 2x and FOCF to debt approaching 15%.

#### **Rating Action**

On Oct. 18, 2018, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'BB+' global scale and 'brAAA' national scale issuer credit ratings on São Martinho S.A. (SMO). We have maintained the positive outlook on the global scale rating and the stable outlook on the national scale rating.

#### Rationale

The global scale positive outlook reflects the company's performance alignment with our expectations, with rising FOCF after the full consolidation of Usina Boa Vista and solid operating efficiency, despite the weaker sugar prices. We will track SMO's ability to maintain this trend amid volatile exchange rates, less favorable weather conditions that reduced the harvest volumes, and the uncertainties over Petrobras' pricing policy for the gasoline, which could ultimately affect ethanol prices and overall profit for the sugarcane sector in the country. We can upgrade SMO to investment grade in the next 12 months if it continues to deleverage and generates solid FOCF, with a debt to EBITDA below 2x and FOCF to debt around 15%.

Over the past four years, SMO has generated FOCF and posted low earnings volatility thanks to its sound agricultural yields, larger scale, favorable locations of its mills, adequate investments in the fields, and hedging strategies. These factors have provided the company with resilient operating margins and a very competitive cash cost. SMO has also consistently improved

its liquidity position with an extended debt maturity profile and higher cash position, despite the much larger working capital consumption in June 2018. The latter stemmed from holding larger ethanol inventories to sell in the off-season periods, when prices are usually higher.

The strength of SMO's business has helped offset the impact of sluggish international sugar prices as a result of the global output surplus, with India as the main driver. Global prices declined to around 10 cents per pound in August 2018 from above 20 cents per pound in October 2016, with current prices in the range of 12-13 cents per pound. Also, the solid operating efficiency and low leverage help SMO to navigate through periods of severe weather conditions and pricing downturns with resilient margins and credit metrics.

Our base-case forecast for the company considers a crushing volume of about 20.5 million tons of sugarcane in the 2018-2019 harvest and close to 21.5 million in the following one. Cash flow generation should remain strong, around R\$480 million for the 2018-2019 harvest. This is despite weak international sugar prices because Brazil's depreciated currency and more attractive returns for the ethanol offset this impact. We don't incorporate any potential upside from RenovaBio program due to the uncertainties of its implementation and the lack of clarity over potential additional cash flow from the decarbonization credits (CBio). One of the main potential risks to our base-case scenario is Petrobras' shift away from its current pricing policy of gasoline aligned with international oil price, which could hamper ethanol's profitability.

We also include the following assumptions in our our base case:

- Brazil's GDP growth of 1.4% in 2018 and 2.2% in 2019, which affects ethanol consumption while sugar is more globally oriented;
- Inflation rate at 4.2% in Brazil in 2018 and 4.0% in 2019, impacting mainly labor-related expenses;

Exchange rate of R\$4.00/\$1.00 in 2018 and R\$4.15/\$1.00 in 2019;

- Average fixed VHP sugar price of about R\$1,100 per ton in the 2018-2019 harvest and R\$1,150 per ton in the 2019-2020 harvest, which incorporates what the company has already fixed and then follows the FX and NY11 curve;
- Average ethanol prices of R\$1.85 per liter in fiscal 2019, increasing according to international Brent oil prices and average exchange rate over the next few years;
- Capital expenditures (capex) of R\$1 billion per year; and
- Dividend payment of about R\$180 million in fiscal 2019 and R\$200 million in fiscal 2020.

Based on these assumptions, we reach the following credit metrics for the next two years:

 Adjusted EBITDA of about R\$1.7 billion and R\$1.9 billion in fiscals 2019 and 2020, respectively;

- FOCF of about R\$480 million in fiscal 2019 and R\$630 million in fiscal 2020;
- Debt to EBITDA of 1.5x-2.0x in fiscal 2019 and close to 1.5x in fiscal 2020;
- FFO to debt in the 45%-60% range in fiscals 2019 and 2020; and
- FOCF to debt close to 15% in fiscal 2019 and 20%-25% in fiscal 2020.

We continue to test SMO's ability to withstand a hypothetical default of Brazil to assess if its rating can stay above the 'BB-' sovereign rating. The company is able to pass the test thanks to the large share of its revenue coming from exports and its adequate liquidity. The strong export revenue, due to depreciation of the Brazilian real, offsets the impact of the sugar's weak price, inflation's impact on costs, and the currency depreciation effect on its short-term foreign currency debt position. We currently assess that the cap on SMO's rating is up to three notches above Brazil's foreign currency rating.

We incorporated the following assumptions for the stress test:

- GDP decline of 10% in fiscal 2020, impairing domestic ethanol sales, while sugar exports remain unchanged;
- Inflation at 12%, pressuring costs;
- Currency depreciation of 50%, doubling dollar-denominated debt, raising cash outflow for interest and short-term debt payments;
- Average sugar prices dropping to 9.5 cents per pound, but prices in reals benefit the currency's depreciation;
- Stable ethanol prices in domestic market (capped by 70% of gasoline prices) because we don't expect Petrobras will fully adjust prices to tame inflation;
- Interest rates doubling in Brazil;
- Minimal capex in stress scenario of R\$630 million;
- No haircut in cash that the company holds outside the countries, a 10% haircut in bank deposits in Brazil, and 70% haircut in the short-term investments in Brazil;
- No dividend payments.

#### Liquidity

We maintain our view of SMO's liquidity as adequate, despite considerable improvements over the past few quarters. The sources over uses of cash should be close to 1.5x for the next 12 months and it will remain positive even if EBITDA declines 30%. SMO has reduced its short-term debt sharply while maintaining higher cash position. Liquidity profile will also benefit from the likely strong FOCF over the next few years. In our view, the company has an overall prudent risk management and a sound relationship with banks, which also support our liquidity assessment. We expect SMO to maintain comfortable

cushion of above 50% for its covenant measurements of net debt to EBITDA below 4.0x.

#### Principal liquidity sources:

- Cash position of R\$1.57 billion, as of June 2018; and
- Expected FFO generation of R\$1.5 billion over the next 12 months.

#### Principal liquidity uses:

- Debt maturities of R\$406 million, as of June 2018;
- Working capital outflows of R\$51 million over the next 12 months and seasonal working capital requirement of R\$400 million;
- Capex of R\$1 billion over the next 12 months; and
- Dividend payments of about R\$180 million over the next 12 months.

#### Outlook

The positive outlook reflects our expectation that SMO will maintain resilient credit metrics and solid FOCF generation, despite the sluggish sugar prices and severe weather conditions in the current harvest. This is thanks to the company's sound operating efficiency, conservative financial policy, and maintenance of adequate liquidity and low leverage amid a more supportive ethanol price scenario and depreciated currency.

#### Upside scenario

We could upgrade SMO in the next 12 months if it maintains the current conservative financial policy while generating resilient cash flows. We expect to see a longer track record of stronger metrics--with debt to EBITDA below 2.0x and FFO to debt above 45% on a consistent basis--a FOCF generation despite low prices for sugar and increasing uncertainties over the ethanol prices, resulting in a FOCF-to-debt ratio close to 15% in fiscal 2019 and above 15% afterwards. For an upgrade, we also expect the company to maintain its improved liquidity position, which has significantly strengthened in first quarter of 2019, with stronger cash position and extended debt maturity profile, allowing the company to continue passing our stress test to be rated above the sovereign rating on Brazil.

#### Downside scenario

We could revise the global scale rating outlook to stable if adverse climate conditions or a sharp decline in sugar and or ethanol prices weaken the company's FOCF generation, eroding its liquidity and credit metrics. In this scenario, SMO's adjusted debt to EBITDA and FFO to debt would approach 3x and 30%, respectively, while FOCF would be negligible. We could also take a negative rating action if SMO's liquidity position deteriorates, which could compromise its ability to pass the stress test to be rated above the sovereign, triggering a downgrade to the sovereign's level.

#### **Ratings Score Snapshot**

Corporate Credit Rating

Global Scale: BB+/Positive/--Brazil National Scale: brAAA/Stable/--

Business risk: Satisfactory
• Country risk: Moderately High

• Industry risk: Intermediate

• Competitive position: Satisfactory

Financial risk: Intermediate

• Cash flow/Leverage: Intermediate

Anchor: bbb-

#### Modifiers

- Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)
- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable rating analysis: negative (less one notch)

#### Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Methodology For National And Regional Scale Credit Ratings, June 25, 2018
- Criteria Corporates Industrials: Key Credit Factors For The Agribusiness And Commodity Foods Industry, Jan. 29, 2015
- Criteria Corporates General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- General Criteria: Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria Corporates General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria Corporates General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013

- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

#### **Ratings List**

Ratings Affirmed

Sao Martinho S.A.
Issuer Credit Rating
Global Scale
Brazil National Scale

BB+/Positive/-brAAA/Stable/--

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.